

IFW



To: Mr. John Chavis
Primary Examiner, USPTO
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Tel: (571)272-3720 Fax: (571)273-8300

From: David Ge
10218 125th Ave NE
Kirkland WA 98033
Tel: (425)707-4224 Fax: (425)803-0679
Email: dge@microsoft.com

Date: Thursday, March 02, 2006
RE: Patent Application 09/682,315, Amendment 37 CFR 1.121

Dear Mr. John Chavis,

I have received your "non-compliant" notice mailed out on Feb 27, 2006, regarding the drawings amendment not being submitted in my reply filed on December 27, 2004, and recommending of seeking the assistance of a professional draftsman.

I guess that the drawing amendments were not recorded in USPTO filing because they were lost in the mail-processing.

For the reply filed on December 27, 2004, I had hired BAY AREA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP, LLC, (their web site is <http://www.bayareaip.com/>) to make the amendment and re-draw **all** the drawings. They claim to provide professional patent drawing services (see

<http://www.bayareaip.com/Services/Formal%20Drawings/FormalDrawings.htm?menu>).

I mailed what they did for me in December 2004 in my reply, and I got the receipt from the US Post Office for the mail. But my filing was not recorded in USPTO transaction history after I got receipt from US Post Office. I sent two letters to USPTO making the inquiries. One of the two letters was recorded in USPTO transaction history dated 01-31-2005. I made calls to you asking for help. You advised me to fax my reply to USPTO together with my post office receipt for proving of my mailing. I followed your advices and that seemed resolved the problem because I saw an item "03-22-2005 Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU" in my application transaction history from USPTO web. But I guess during this remedy-process the drawings Bay Area IP did for me did not get into my file, or the quality was damaged because of faxing.

I am re-mailing the drawings Bay Area IP did for me. When I hired them they guaranteed me that their drawings would meet USPTO requirements. But if you judge the drawings they did don't then I will have to hire another company to re-do it again and seek compensations from Bay Area IP for their lack of professional qualifications in doing a business they advertised to do.

Best regards,
David Ge